Introduction

Three spinning technologies – ring (conventional & compact), rotor and air-jet spinning- are discussed, different focusing on the entire range of their concerning fineness and input material. A large part of the world’s yarn production, 36.2 million tones (64% of the world’s total yarn production), is still spun yarn. The worldwide spinning capacity of installed ring spindles was approximately 144 million and that of rotor spindles was about 3.7 million at the end of 2005 [1].

The most important raw material on rotor spinning machines is still cotton with a share of 60% [1, 2]. Modern rotor-spun yarns surpass conventional ring-spun yarns, not only in their regularity of appearance, but also due to their reduced imperfection count. They are also more abrasion resistant, more extensible and less hairy. All these favorable characteristics lead to better processing in subsequent textile operations, resulting in higher productivity and superior quality of end-products.

There are three important spinning components in OE - rotor spinning which have an influence on yarn properties, these are the opening roller, rotor, and navel. Figure 1.a illustrates the OE - rotor spinning principal and its basic parts. The configuration of the navel has quite a considerable effect on both spinning stability and yarn appearance. It decisively determines the degree of twist present in the rotor and, therefore, the spinning conditions in the rotor groove [3, 4].

Hairiness is one of the most important characteristics which affects weaving, knitting, dyeing and the finishing process in textiles. The properties of fibres and yarn production stages are known to be influential for yarn hairiness. Yarn hairiness is defined as fibre ends not embedded in the yarn body, but protruding from it at different lengths.
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types, affect the quality properties of yarns [4, 5]. There is some information about choosing navel type in literature, especially concerning the advice of machine producers. But this information is quite general and not satisfactory.

Both the number and form of notches on the navel have an influence on hairiness. An increase in the number of notches raises hairiness (Figure 2). This study aims to investigate the influence of navel type on hairiness. Polyester/cotton and polyester/viscose blends, which play a large part in OE - rotor spinning, were used for this purpose.

Table 1. Properties of blended slivers; PES – polyester, co-cotton, CV - viscose.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of sliver</th>
<th>Blending</th>
<th>Count, ktx</th>
<th>Fibre</th>
<th>Linear density (Fineness), dtex</th>
<th>Length, mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PES/CO</td>
<td>50/50</td>
<td>4.54.</td>
<td>PES</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>25/75</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PES/CV</td>
<td>50/50</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>PES</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>70/30</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Navel types [3, 7]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Navel types</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Processed material</th>
<th>Front view of navel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K4KK</td>
<td>Ceramic, 4 notches, short</td>
<td>All materials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K8KK</td>
<td>Ceramic, 8 notches, short</td>
<td>All materials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K4KS</td>
<td>Ceramic, 4 notches, spiral</td>
<td>Cotton and blends</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K6KF</td>
<td>Ceramic, 6 notches, flat</td>
<td>Man-Made fibres and blends</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Spinning parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air conditions</th>
<th>Production parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air temperature</td>
<td>20 °C ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity</td>
<td>65% ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of opening roller</td>
<td>OS21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening roller speed, r.p.m.</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver feeding speed, m/min</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft</td>
<td>184.8-218.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of rotor</td>
<td>35 Dili BD – V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor speed, r.p.m.</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery speed, m/min</td>
<td>90.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twist, l.p.m.</td>
<td>828.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear density (Yarn count), tex</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twist factor, (m₀)</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Materials and method

Four different blended slivers were used in this study. Details for these slivers are given in Table 1. In addition, the navel types used in the study are listed in Table 2.

We used a Quickspin instrument with a Rieter R20 spinbox for producing rotor-spun yarns at laboratory scale (Figure 3). The spinning parameters for all yarns are listed in Table 3. Three types of navel (K4KK, K8KK and K4KS) were used for PES/CO blends. In addition to these navel types, a K6KF was used for PES/CV blends. In high-speed OE-Rotor Spinning, when producing chemical fibre blended yarns, there is the problem of the temperature between the navel surface and yarn. This high temperature can cause deformation of fibre and yarn properties. To avoid these bad effects, suitable navels must be used for chemical fibre blends [8].

We produced 14 different rotor-spun yarns from the blended slivers. The hairiness of these yarns was tested on an Uster 4SX Tester and Zweigle G565.

Ten single measurements were performed for each bobbin for both the Zweigle (per 100 meter of yarn length) and Uster Tester (per 400 meter of yarn length). Mean values of these test results were used in statistical analysis. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey HSD (Honestly Significant Difference) tests provided an α value of 0.01. All statistical analysis steps were performed on SPSS software.

Results and discussion

Hairiness was obtained from a Zweigle test instrument as a hairiness index and S3 value, and from an Uster Tester an H value is attained. The results of variance analysis with significance values, and hairiness test results of the yarns are summarised in Tables 4, 5, 6 and 7 (see pages 33 and 34). From the test results in the tables, we can see which navel types have statistically significant difference according to hairiness. The significant values in the tables are smaller than α = 0.01, which means that the differences between the hairiness values of the yarns are, with a probability of 99%, statistically significant.

On the other hand, the averages of hairiness values according to raw material and navel types are shown in Figures 4, 5 and 6.

There is no significant difference between the S3, Index, and H values of K4KK and K8KK navels for PES/CO 50/50 yarns (Table 4). This means that the hairiness effects of these two navels are statistically similar. On the other hand, there is, with a probability of 99%, a significant difference between the K4KS navel and the two other navel types. Furthermore, the hairiness effect of K4KS is much higher than the other navels (Figures 4 - 6), and Therefore, the K4KS is more effective for the production of hairy yarns than the K4KK and K8KK.
In Table 5, it appears that all three navel types are significantly different from each other for all the hairiness values of PES/CO (25/75) blend yarns. This means that K4KK and K8KK navels have different effects on cotton fibre at a 75% blend rate with polyester fibre.

As can be seen in Table 6, for PES/CV (50/50) blend yarns, the differences between all the navel types are statistically significant regarding Zweigle S3 values. Minimum hairiness is obtained with the K6KF navel, whereas maximum hairiness is obtained with the K4KS navel (Figures 4 - 6). The K6KF navel has more notches than the K4KK and K4KS, but it does have a lower hairiness effect because of the suitability of its surface structure design for chemical fibres. This shows that as well as the number of notches, the surface structure and design...
also have an influence on the hairiness of yarn. For the hairiness index and H values, there is no significant difference between the K4KK, K6KF and K8KK. For the K4KK and K8KK there is a high similarity of H values at a level of 0.99.

For PES/CV (70/30) blend yarns (Table 7), most of the navel types have significant differences regarding yarn hairiness. There is no significant difference at a level of 0.01; only between K4KK and K8KK in S3 values and between K8KK and K6KF in H values. The hairiness is lowest for the K6KF navel for all hairiness values. The K4KS navel has the hairiest effect on the yarns.

Figure 7 presents the hairiness behaviour of the navel types used in this study. The minimum hairiness effect was obtained with the K6KF navel, and the maximum hairiness effect was obtained with the K4KS navel.

### Conclusions

- Maximum hairiness values were obtained with the K4KS navel for all blends. This means that the form and structure of a navel have an important effect on hairiness, except the number of notches.
- The minimal hairiness effect of the K6KF navel on chemical blended yarns also may result from its surface construction and thermal properties.
- Chemical fibres can be affected by thermal impacts more than natural fibres. This means K6KF navel is suitable for chemical fibre blends when hairiness is not required.
- K4KK and K8KK navels are suitable for cotton blends if hairiness is not required, and there is no statistically significant difference between them.
- If the blend ratio of cotton is more than 50%, the difference between the two navels are statistically significant.
- Noticeable properties of the navels, extracted from this study, are listed below:
  - The number of notches on the navel,
  - The physical form of notches (convex/concave),
  - The structure of the navel surface (i.e. frictional and thermal properties),
  - The surface geometry of the navel (i.e. flat, spiral)
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Table 7. Comparison of Navel Types for PES/CV 70/30 Yarn; * The mean difference is significant at a level of 0.01.