Investigation of the Air Permeability of Socks Knitted from Yarns with Peculiar Properties

Abstract
This paper presents a study on the air permeability of socks manufactured using the yarns of new kinds of fibres, such as soybean, bamboo, cotton/seacells and bamboo/flax. The air permeability of plain pure knits and plated knits of textured polyamide (PA) and elastane (Lycra) wrapped with textured polyamide threads was investigated. It was determined that higher air permeability is characteristic for knits produced from natural yarns, a lower permeability for knits with textured PA, and the lowest for knits with Lycra threads. Textured PA or Lycra threads change the structure of plated knits as the construction of such knits are thicker and tighter. The variation in air permeability depending on the area density, linear density, loop length and tightness factor of plain and plated plain knits was discussed.
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Introduction
With the growing demand for more comfortable, healthier and environmentally friendly products, efforts in research and development in the textile industry have focused on the utilisation of renewable and biodegradable resources. As a result a new kind of bamboo and soybean protein fibres, which are an alternative to conventional ones, and cotton have gained importance in apparel manufacturing. Information about the manufacturing process for regenerated bamboo fibre in the ring yarn manufacturing process using different counts [1]. In order to make comfortable socks, not only cotton yarns are used: yarns of soya, bamboo, seacells and their blends with traditional fibres such as cotton and flax are also utilised. These fibres have a good influence on humans. Bamboo fibres are naturally antibacterial and biodegradable and have a high moisture absorption capacity, softness, brightness as well as UV protective properties [1]. Soybean protein fibre is also naturally antibiotic, has good mechanical and physical performances, a soft and smooth handle, good moisture absorption and permeability, and is used especially for skin contact [2]. Seacell fibre is saturated with various minerals, microelements and vitamins. When in contact with this fibre, the skin feels a crème-effect. Seacell protects the skin, has antiphlogistic and antiallergic properties and is not irritating for the skin [3].

Air permeability is a vital quality in such end-use applications as sport garments, underwear products, t-shirts, socks and others. Air permeability, being a biophysical feature of textiles, determines the ability of a fabric to carry out gaseous substances, significantly influences the thermal comfort of the human body and ensures the support of proper body temperature [4]. It is logical to expect that fabric structure has an impact on air permeability, namely the porosity. However, there is limited experimental proof in the literature that can correlate these properties [5]. It was determined that the loop length of a knitted jersey has more influence on porosity than the stitch density and the thickness. Porosity is affected by the yarn number or yarn count number. It was noted that an increase in the yarn number influences porosity by decreasing the space and volume of pores and flattening yarns on the surface [6]. Thus far there are no any investigations on blended yarns.

There are a lack of researches comparing the influence of raw material and the knitted fabric structure on bio – physical properties, particularly on air or water vapour permeability. B. Wilhik-Halgas et al. investigated air and water permeability in double - layered knitted fabrics with different raw materials and found that air permeability, in contrast to water vapour permeability, is a function of the knitted fabric thickness and surface porosity. They emphasised that surface porosity correlates more with air permeability than knitted fabric thickness [7]. Research of R. Baltakytė and S. Petrulytė determined the influence of the kind of impact (water/heat/mechanical/chemical) and concluded that the process has a significant effect on the air permeability of woven terry fabrics [8]. The air permeability decreases considerably after finishing operations due to the blocking up of the fabric’s pores. A significant difference exists in the air permeability of different fabric softener treatments, fabric types and in the number of laundering cycles [9, 10]. So far there have been no investigations on plain knits for socks from natural yarns and plated knitted socks of textured polyamide (PA) and elastane (Lycra) wrapped with textured polyamide thread. The aim of this investigation was to determine what kind of yarns or com-
posite thereof allows to obtain comfortable knits for socks. During the wearing of such socks, we could feel cool when it is hot outside or feel warm when it is cold. This paper investigates the air permeability of knitted socks not only from conventional cotton yarns but also from other new 21\textsuperscript{st} century pure yarns, such as bamboo, soybean protein fibres, blended yarns like cotton – seacells, and bamboo - flax.

### Object of investigation

Socks are commonly knitted in a plain pattern from cotton yarns plated with textured polyamide (PA) or elastane wrapped with textured polyamide threads (Lycra).

Experimental samples were knitted using pure yarns from such fibres as 100% Cotton (C - 14 tex), 100% Bamboo (B - 14 tex), 100% Soybean protein (S - 14 tex), and blended yarns: 75% Cotton/25% seacell (CS - 19 tex), 80% Bamboo/20% flax (BF - 24 tex). Also, plaited knits of textured polyamide PA (20 tex) or elastane (Lycra 2.2 tex) wrapped with textured polyamide threads (PA 7.8 tex) were used.

**Knits for socks were manufactured from true 28 tex and 42 tex yarns (knitted from two or three yarns per loop when the linear density is 14 tex).** Plaited knits were manufactured using 24 tex and 38 tex yarns (combination of one or two pure yarns (14 tex) and Lycra thread). Plaited knits with textured PA were manufactured from 34 tex and 48 tex yarns (same combination as with Lycra). Using the same manufacturing method, samples were knitted from blended yarns of cotton – seacell and bamboo – flax yarns and its combination with Lycra, and textured PA threads. Single jersey knits were manufactured on a 14 gauge, 168 needle and 3½” diameter Matec - Techno New socks knitting machine. The knitted samples were kept in a steam box for 20 minutes. Before investigation, the test knits were conditioned in standard conditions: relative humidity (65±2)%, temperature (20±2)°C. The variants of the knitted samples are presented in Table 1.

### Methods of test and calculations

In this research air permeability tests of the knits investigated were conducted according to EN ISO 9237: 1997 [11]. The air permeability was measured using an LI4DR air permeability tester (Karl Schroder KG, Germany) with a head area of 5 cm². In order to get comparable results, coefficient 4 was multiplied with a specified area. The airflow rate was measured over 20 tests per sample variant. The airflow rate determines the air permeability of test specimens, hence after the tests, the values of air permeability were calculated using equation [11]:

\[
R = \frac{q_v}{A} \cdot 167 \tag{1}
\]

where:
- \(R\) – air permeability in mm/s;
- \(q_v\) – mean of airflow yield in dm³/min;
- \(A\) – specified area in cm².

The courses and wale density of the samples were calculated in the direction of the length and width of the knits at a 10 cm distance, and evaluated per cm. The area density of the samples was obtained from measurements of 10 × 10 cm samples, which is reported in g/m². The yarn count was estimated before knitting. The stitch length \(l\) of a plain knitted sock was determined from the area density, which may be calculated using expression [12]:

\[
l = \frac{M \cdot A \cdot B}{T} \tag{2}
\]

where:
- \(l\) – stitch length in mm;
$M$ – area density of knitted sample in g/m$^2$;
$A$ – wale spacing of knitted sample in mm;
$B$ – course spacing of knitted sample in mm;
$T$ – linear density of yarns in tex.

It is known that the majority of knit features depend on the loop length and yarn linear density. The tightness of knits was characterised by the tightness factor ($TF$). It is known that $TF$ is a ratio of the area covered by the yarns in one loop to the area occupied by the loop [12]. It is also an indication of the relative looseness or tightness of the plain knitted weft structure. For determination of $TF$ the following formula was used [12 – 16]:

$$TF = \frac{T}{l}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where:
$T$ – the yarn linear density in tex;
$l$ – the loop length of knitted samples in mm.

### Experimental results

All experimental results are presented in Table 2.

We investigated the main characteristics of the knits that have an impact on air permeability: the linear density of the yarns, area density, loop length, the tightness factor and raw material of the yarns. Regarding air permeability, the range of values obtained is significant, ranging from 152.8 – 1812.8 mm/s. Obviously air permeability decreases if the area density increases due to the knit structure becoming thicker, a result of which being worse air flow. As can be seen in Figure 1, knits with textured PA or

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication of knitted sample variant</th>
<th>Loop length $l$, mm</th>
<th>Tightness factor, TF</th>
<th>Air permeability, mm/s</th>
<th>Coefficient of variation of air permeability, %</th>
<th>Relative error, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>254.8</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>192.9</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>188.7</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSL</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>231.7</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFL</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>205.4</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>1591.7</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>1639.9</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>1812.8</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSCS</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>1010.4</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFBF</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>11.96</td>
<td>1074.6</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPA</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>293.9</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPA</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>293.9</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPA</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>8.58</td>
<td>377.4</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSPA</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>9.49</td>
<td>349.0</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFPA</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>359.9</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>10.64</td>
<td>212.9</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBL</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>158.7</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSL</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>11.09</td>
<td>152.8</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSCSLS</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>12.83</td>
<td>177.9</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFBSL</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>18.60</td>
<td>157.8</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCC</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>10.12</td>
<td>728.0</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBB</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>884.3</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSS</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>9.28</td>
<td>1021.2</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSCSCS</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>11.58</td>
<td>506.9</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFBSBF</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>16.98</td>
<td>458.4</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCPA</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>190.8</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBPA</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>10.14</td>
<td>207.1</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSPA</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>242.2</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSCSPA</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>11.82</td>
<td>229.6</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFBSFPA</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>14.08</td>
<td>206.6</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** Air permeability of samples of knitted socks, as denoted in Table 1.
Lycra threads have lower air permeability (ranging from 152.8 – 359.9 mm/s). The highest values are shown by knits manufactured from two pure yarns: cotton - seacells (1010.4 mm/s), bamboo - flax (1074.6 mm/s), cotton (1519.7 mm/s), bamboo (1639.9 mm/s), soybean (1812.8 mm/s).

To describe the results in terms of air permeability dependence on area density, a polynomial equation was used because it shows the highest determination coefficient. In Figure 2 the dependence of air permeability on the area density of knits from cotton, bamboo and soybean is presented; the determination coefficient of the equation obtained is $R^2 = 0.989$ (cotton), $R^2 = 0.974$ (bamboo), and $R^2 = 0.976$ (soybean). In order to obtain these dependences, all plain and plated knits for each fibres were used. As mentioned earlier, these results confirm that the air permeability decreases with the increasing area density of the samples.

A comparison was made of the knits manufactured from different (cotton, bamboo, soy) fibres but with the same linear density (14 tex). Results of the air permeability of knits for socks manufactured using two or three pure yarns per loop (linear density 28 tex and 42 tex), as well as a combination with textured polyamide PA or Lycra threads are presented in Figure 3. When samples were manufactured from 28 tex or 42 tex yarns of pure fibre, the highest air permeability was for the knit from soy. Samples knitted with the same linear density of cotton yarns showed lower air permeability than those manufactured from bamboo, which was even lower than soy knits.

Results of samples knitted from a combination with PA threads show lower air permeability than knits from pure yarns. When samples were manufactured from a combination of one pure yarn and one textured polyamide thread (linear density 34 tex), the highest air permeability was for knits manufactured from one soy yarn and one polyamide thread, which was 28% higher than the air permeability of samples manufactured from two or three pure yarns and polyamide, which was 27% higher than the air permeability of samples manufactured from cotton and textured polyamide, and compared with that from a combination of bamboo and textured PA, the difference was not so apparent.

Knits made from a combination of cotton yarns and textured PA did not differ so distinctly from those made with bamboo yarns and polyamide.

From results we can see that Lycra thread decreases air permeability much more than textured PA. When samples were manufactured from a combination of one pure yarn and one Lycra thread (linear density 24 tex), the highest air permeability was shown by knits manufactured from a combination of cotton and Lycra, which was 25% higher than knits from a combination of bamboo yarns and Lycra, which was 28% than samples knitted from a combination of soy yarns and Lycra thread. Also, a comparison was made of the knits made from the same fiber but with a different linear density. Comparing knits from two or three pure yarns, it is obvious that the area density increases and the air permeability decreases in all the variants of knits. When knitted samples are manufactured from the yarns investigated including textured PA or Lycra, the air permeability decreases as well. As the yarns

Figure 2. Air permeability as a function of the area density of all the cotton (♦), bamboo (■) and soybean (▲) knit variants.

Figure 3. Air permeability of knits manufactured from two or three pure yarns of cotton, bamboo, soybean (28 tex and 42 tex) and its combination with textured PA (34 tex and 48 tex) and Lycra (24 tex and 38 tex) threads.
investigated are single, the loop length is longer and air flows more easily. Stretch textured polyamide and Lycra thread make the sample more tightly knitted, the loop length shorter and the air flow worse. Comparing knits manufactured from pure yarns and knits with textured PA, it is evident that the air permeability decreases by about 78%. Comparing knits manufactured from pure yarns and knits with Lycra, the air permeability decreases by about 83%, being much more than with textured PA. The same trend in air permeability variation was noted of knits manufactured from blended cotton - seacell and bamboo - flax yarns. The air permeability of all the knit variants manufactured from cotton - seacell yarns did not differ so distinctly from knits of bamboo - flax. Comparing the knits manufactured from pure yarns and knits with textured PA or Lycra threads, the air permeability decreases by about 60% and 72%, respectively.

Verification of the correlation between the air permeability and tightness factor TF or loop length l was performed. There was not any correlation for all the plated knit variants with textured PA and elastane Lycra threads, which could be explained by the diverse porosity of knits manufactured from threads (PA or Lycra) with high stretch and bulk properties. A weak correlation exists between the air permeability and tightness factor only for knits manufactured from pure yarns.

Conclusions

The research on the air permeability of cotton, bamboo, soybean, cotton - seacell, bamboo - and flax knitted socks with different stucture parameters and raw material compositions can be summarised as follows:

It was determined that higher air permeability is characteristic for knits manufactured only from pure yarns, a lower permeability for knits with textured PA, and the lowest for knits with Lycra threads. The air permeability of knits depends on the linear density and raw material composition. Textured PA increases air permeability compared with Lycra thread. By interchanging these stretch threads, we can control the area density, loop length and, most importantly, air permeability.

Air permeability decreases with an increase in area density of samples; therefore, there is a good relation between area density and air permeability for all the kinds of knits examined. The experimental results are best described by a polynomial equation for the function of air permeability to area density. The determination coefficients of the equations obtained is R² = 0.989 (cotton), R² = 0.974 (bamboo) and R² = 0.976 (soybean).

There was no correlation between the air permeability, tightness factor and loop length of knits manufactured from compositions with textured PA and Lycra threads. A weak correlation exists between the air permeability and tightness factor of knits manufactured from pure yarns.

Cotton – seacell fibre reduces the air permeability of the knits examined compared with knits manufactured from pure cotton yarns, where the linear density is the same. Bamboo – flax fibre reduces the air permeability of the knits tested compared with knits manufactured from pure bamboo yarns, where the linear density is the same.

For warm season socks, knits from natural yarns would be used as they are characterised by higher air permeability, creating a cool feeling for the wearer. For cold season socks, plated knits manufactured from textured polyamide (PA) and elastane wrapped with textured polyamide threads (Lycra) would be used as they are characterised by lower air permeability, creating a warm feeling for the wearer.
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